	FILED
OMIO B. NARITA (SBN 96238)	
narita@snllp.com RVIN C. LUGAY (SBN 242599) lugay@snllp.com IMMONDS & NARITA LLP	2012 NOV 14 PM 12: 19
lugay@snllp.com IMMONDS & NARITA LLP	
4 Montgomery Street, Suite 3010 an Francisco, CA 94104-4816	GLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF GALIF. LOS ANGELES
4 Montgomery Street, Suite 3010 an Francisco, CA 94104-4816 Gelephone: (415) 283-1000 acsimile: (415) 352-2625	
Attorneys for defendant Equable Ascent Financial, LLC	
UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
LORI KLEIMAN, an individual	CASE NOV 12 9729
Plaintiff,	
,	NOTICE OF REMOVAL
VS.	{
EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC, a limited liability company; CREDITOR IUSTUS ET	
REMEDIUM, LLP, a limited liability partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,	
inclusive,	
Defendants.	{
	. }
•	

TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defendant Equable Ascent Financial, LLC ("Defendant"), a Delaware limited liability company, hereby removes to this Court the state court action described below.

- 1. On September 27, 2012, a complaint was filed against Defendant by plaintiff Lori Kleiman ("Plaintiff"), in an action pending in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Ventura, East County Division, entitled *Lori Kleiman v. Equable Ascent Financial, LLC, et al.*, Case No. 56-2012-00424933-CU-PO-VTA. A copy of the state court Summons and Complaint, the Civil Case Cover Sheet, the Notice of Case Management Conference and other documents issued by the state court (collectively, "Complaint") that was served on Defendant is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Defendant CIR Law Office, LLP (erroneously named in the complaint as Creditor Iustus Et Remedium, LLP) to this action has consented to removal. A copy of CIR's consent to removal is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.
- 2. This removal petition is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) because Defendant was first served with a copy of the Complaint on October 17, 2012.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This action is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and which may be removed to this Court by Defendant pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) in that the Complaint asserts claims against Defendant allegedly arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*. (the "Fair Debt Collection Practices Act"). *See* Exhibit A, ¶¶ 1, 6-8, 25-27.
- 4. As the Complaint was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Ventura, venue in this District is proper. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) (providing for removal "to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place" where the state court action is pending); 28 U.S.C. § 84(c)(2) (Central District, Western Division comprises, *inter alia*, the

county of Ventura). Defendant is represented by the undersigned. 5. SIMMONDS & NARITA LLP TOMIO B. NARITA ARVIN C. LUGAY DATED: November 13, 2012 By: Arvin C. Lugay Attorneys for defendant Equable Ascent Financial, LLC

Exhibit A

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL LLC, a limited liability company;

CREDITOR IUSTUS ET REMEDIUM, LLP, a limited liability partnership, and Does I through 10, inclusive, YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

· (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

LORI KLEIMAN, an individual,.

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLD PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT FILED

SEP 2 7 2012

MICHAEL D. PLANE Executive Officer and Clark

-+ 簡単hoty M. Ochoa

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the count clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney reterral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can tocate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.ternia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ce.gov/selfnelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any selftement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. JAVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su version. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formalo legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haye un formulario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presantación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a liempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corta le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, quede tlamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos pere obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (vww.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda da las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniendose an contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los castos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de deracho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso,

The name and address of the court is: El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California, County o	56-2012-00424933-CU-PO-VTA
Ventura, East County Division. 3855 F Alamo Street.	
Simi Valley, CA 93063	
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an at El nombre, la dirección y el numero de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del dem	nandante que no tiene abogado, es):
Octavio Cardona-Loya II. 3130 Bonita Road, Suite 200-B, Chula Vista, (CA 91910. (619) 476-0030
SEP 27 2017	
DATE: Clerk, by	M. Ochoa De

	2 EB 22 4 1111	•	•	•
DATE: (Fechs)		Clerk, by (Secretario)		M. Ochoa Deputy (Adjunto)
(For proof of service of this	s summons, use Proof of Service	of Summons (form POS-(010).)	
(Para prueba de entrega d	de esta citatión use el formulario i	Proof of Service of Summo	ns, (POS-010)).	
(SEAL)	NOTICE TO THE PERSO	N SERVED: You are serve		
SERIOR CO. IN	2. as the person su	ed under the fictitious nam	в of (specify).	•
	3. On behalf of (spe	city): Equable A	ecent Fire	ancial LLC, a ling Oility company 16.60 (minor)
	Sel under: L CCP 411	5.10 (corporation)	CCP 4	16:60 (minor)
C. Co	🚰 CCP 416	5.20 (defunct corporation)	. CCP 4	16.70 (conservatee)
Millimage	CCP 418	5.40 (association or partne	rship) CCP 4	16.90 (authorized person).
	4. by personal deliv		112	Page 1 of 1
Form Adocised for Mandatory Use		SUMMONS .	,	Code of Civil Procedure 66 412,20, 465



Superior Court of California, County of Ventura

Notice of Civil Case Management Changes

Case Assignment and Mandatory Appearance at Initial Case Management Conference/Order to Show Cause

Effective September 4, 2012, the following changes will be made to Ventura Superior Court's Case Management Program (Limited and Unlimited General Civil cases):

- At the time of filing of the initial Complaint, a Notice of Case Assignment and Mandatory Appearance notice will be provided by the Clerk which identifies the Courtroom and Judicial Officer to whom the case is assigned, and contains the date, time and Courtroom for a combined initial Case Management Conference (CMC) and Order to Show Cause re Sanctions/Dismissal re Failure to File Proof of Service/Default (OSC):
- The combined CMC/OSC will be scheduled approximately five months after the initial filing date for the purpose of:
 - Confirming compliance with and/or addressing issues (and possible sanctions) related to timeframes established by Statutes and Rules of Court for service of the Complaint and entry of Default,
 - 2. Scheduling of Alternative Dispute Resolution proceedings and/or a Trial date, and
 - 3. Other issues pursuant to California Rule of Court, Rule 3.722.
- A copy of the Notice of Case Assignment and Mandatory Appearance shall be served by the filing party on all named Defendant/Respondents with the Complaint or Petition, and with any Cross-Complaint or Complaint in Intervention that names a new party to the underlying action.
- Appearance at the CMC by attorneys and self-represented parties, in person or by telephone (See Rule 7.00 et seq), is MANDATORY.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF VENTURA

800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009 (805) 654-2609 www.yemuraccourts.ca.gov

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT AND MANDATORY APPEARANCE

Case Number: 56-2012-00424933-CU-PO-VTA

Your case has been assigned for all purposes to the judicial officer indicated below.

A copy of this Notice of Case Assignment and Mandatory Appearance shall be served by the filing party on all named Defendants/Respondents with the Complaint or Petition, and with any Cross-Complaint or Complaint in Intervention that names a new party to the underlying action.

ASSIGNED JUDICIAL OFFICER	COURT LOCATION	DEPT/ROOM
Hon. Frederick Bysshe	Ventura	41
HEARING MANDATORY APPEARAN	ICE CMC/Order to Show Cause Re Sanctio Service/Default	ns/Dismissal
		
EVENT DATE	EVENT TIME	EVENT DEPT/ROOM

SCHEDULING INFORMATION

Judicial Scheduling Information

AT THE ABOVE HEARING IS MANDATORY.

Each party must file a Case Management Statement no later than 15 calenday days prior to the hearing and serve it on all parties. If your Case Management Statement is untimely, it may NOT be considered by the court (CRC 3.725).

If proof of service and/or request for entry of default have not been filed: At the above hearing you are ordered to show cause why you should not be compelled to pay sanctions and/or why your case should not be dismissed (CCP 177.5, Local Rule 3.17).

Advance Jury Fee Requirement

Each party demanding a jury trial is required to deposit advance jury fees of \$150 for each party on or before the date scheduled for the initial Case Management Conference.

By failing to deposit the advance jury fees required by CCP 631, a party waives trial by jury.

Noticed Motions/Ex Parte Matters >

To set an ex parte hearing, contact the judicial secretary in the assigned department. Contact the clerk's office to reserve a date for a law and motion matter.

Telephonic Appearance

Telephonic appearance at the Case Management Conference is permitted pursuant to CRC 3.670. In addition, see Local Rule 7.01 regarding notice to the teleconference provider. The court, through the teleconference provider, will contact all parties and counsel prior to the hearing.

By: m. Ochea)

Maria Ochoa, Cierk

VEN-FNR068

	,	CM-010
		FOR COURT USE ONLY
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Sidie Bar Octavio Cardona-Loya II, Esq. SBN 25530)	MENTINA
Golden & Cardona-Loya, LLr		VENTURA SUPĒRIČĀ COURT
3130 Bonita Road, Suite 200B		
Chula Vista, CA 91910 TELEPHONE NO.: 619-476-0030	FAX NO.; 775-898-5471	FILED
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Lori Kleiman	n	SEP 2 7 2012
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF VO	entura	25, 20, 50, 5
STREET ADDRESS: 3855 F Alamo Street		MICHAEL D. SHANGER
MAILING ADDRESS:	· ·	MICHAEL D. PLANET Executive Officer and Clerk
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Simi Valley, CA 930	53	M. Ochoa Deput
BRANCH NAME: East County Division		- INT. OCHOE
CASE NAME: Kleiman v. Equable Ascent Financia	III Cetal	
	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	56-2012-00424933-CU-PO-VTA
Unlimited Limited (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	. Jupoge:
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendan	1
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cat. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
ilems 1–6 be	ow must be completed (see instructions on	page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that	at best describes this case:	ovisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto Tort	Contract Pro Breach of contract/warranty (05) (Ca	al. Rules of Court, rules 3,400–3,403)
Auto (22)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Uninsured molorist (46)	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass fort (40)
Asbesios (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the .
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
- Non-PUPD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	
Business tort/unfair business practice (0)	() Company of the bank of the	forcement of Judgment
Civii rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Deramation (13)		scellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
.1ntellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	` Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
:Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review Mi. Asset iorialture (05)	scellaneous Civil Petition
- Opportuniting out (and	Petition re; arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other Judicial review (39)	
	pplex under rule 3,400 of the California Rule	s of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mane		
· · · a. Large number of separately repr	esented parties d, 🔲 Large number o	i witnesses
b. Extensive motion practice raising	difficult or novel e. Coordination wi	th related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumir	·	s, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of document	ary evidence f Substantial pos	fjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply):	a. nonetary b. nonmonetary; de	claratory or injunctive relief c v punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): (1		
5. This case Is I ls not a cla		
	and serve a notice of related case, (You me	vuse form CM-015)
Date: September 25, 2012		$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I})$
Octavio Cardona-Loya II	b (.()	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(Sić	NATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
D1-1-126	NOTICE	
	first paper filed in the action or proceeding Welfare and Institutions Code) (Cal. Rules	(except small claims cases or cases filed to file may result
in sanctions.		or South fold of Each 1 allow to like may leading
• File this cover sheet in addition to any co		a de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la co
 If this case is complex under rule 3,400 e other parties to the action or proceeding. 	t seq. of the California Rules of Court, you r	nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
 Unless this is a collections case under ru 	le 3.740 or a complex case, this cover shee	l will be used for statistical purposes only.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Page 1 of 2

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases fited. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3,740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3,740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3,740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

```
the case is complex.
Аціо Топ
     Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
         Damage/Wrongful Death
     Uninsured Molorist (46) (if the
         case involves an uninsured
         motorist claim subject to
         arbitration, check this item
         instead of Auto)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/
Property Damage/Wrongful Death)
     Asbestos (04)
         Asbesios Property Damage
         Asbestos Personal Injury/
              Wrongful Death
     Product Liabilily (not asbestos or
         toxic/environmen(al) (24)
     Medical Malpractice (45)
         Medical Malpractice-
              Physicians & Surgeons
         Other Professional Health Care
              Malpractice
     Other PI/PD/WD (23)
         Premises Liability (e.g., slip.
              and fall)
         Intentional Bodily Injury/PO/WD
         (e.g., assault, vandalism)
Intentional Infliction of
              Emotional Distress
         Negligent Infliction of
              Emptional Distress
         Other PI/PD/WD
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort
    Business Torl/Unfair Business
        Practice (07)
    Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,
        talse arrest) (not civil
        harassmeni) (08)
    Defamation (e.g., stander, libel)
    Fraud (16)-
    Intellectual Property (19)
    Professional Negligence (25)
        Legal Malpractice
Other Professional Malpractice.
           (not medical or legal)
     Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)
Employment '
    Wrongiul Termination (35)
```

```
CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES
Contract
    Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
         Breach of Rental/Lease
             Contract (not unlawful detainer
                or wrongful eviction)
        Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller
             Plainliff (not fraud or negligence)
         Negligent Breach of Contract/
             Warranty
         Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
    Collections (e.g., money owed, open
        book accounts) (09)
         Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff
         Other Promissory Note/Collections
             Case
    Insurance Coverage (not provisionally
        complex) (18)
        Auto Subrogation
        Other Coverage
    Other Contract (37)
        Contractual Fraud
        Other Contract Dispute
Real Property
    Eminent Domain/Inverse
        Condemnation (14)
    Wrongful Eviction (33)
    Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
        Writ of Possession of Real Property
        Mortgage Foreclosure
        Quiel Title
        Other Real Properly (not eminent
        domain, landlord/lenant, or
        (oreclosure)
Unlawiul Detainer
    Commercial (31)
    Residential (32)
    Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal
        drugs, check this item; otherwise,
        report as Commercial or Residential)
Judicial Review
    Asset Forfeiture (05)
    Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
    Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus
        Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court
           Case Mailer:
        Writ-Other Limited Court Case
           Review
    Other Judicial Review (39)
        Review of Health Officer Order
```

```
Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.
Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)
      Anlitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
      Construction Defect (10)
      Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
      Securities Liligation (28)
      Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
      insurance Coverage Claims
          (arising from provisionally complex
          case type listed above) (41)
  Enforcement of Judgment
      Enforcement of Judgment (20)
          Abstract of Judgment (Out of
              County)
          Confession of Judgment (non-
              domestic relations)
          Sister State Judgment
          Administrative Agency Award
             (not unpaid laxes)
          Petition/Certification of Entry of
             Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
          Other Enforcement of Judgment
Case
 Miscellaneous Civil Comptaint
     RICO (27)
Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
          Declaratory Relief Only
Injunctive Relief Only (non-
              harassment)
          Mechanics Lien
          Other Commercial Complaint
              Case (non-lor/non-complex)
          Other Civil Complaint
             (non-lor/hon-complex)
 Miscellaneous Civil Petition
     Partnership and Corporate
          Governance (21)
      Other Petition (not specified
          aboveJ (43).
          Civil Harassment
          Workplace Violence
          Elder/Dependent Adult
              Abuse
          Election Contest
          Petition for Name Change
          Petition for Relief From Late
              Claim
          Other Civil Petition
```

Other Employment (15)

Notice of Appeal-Labor

ARUTURA TRUGO ROIRISCUS Octavio Cardona-Loya II, Esq. SBN 255309 FILED Golden & Cardona-Loya, LLP SEP 2 7 2012 3130 Bonita Road, Suite 200B Chula Vista, CA 91910 vito@goldencardona.com Executive Officer and Clerk Phone: 619-476-0030; Fax: 775-898-5471 M. Ochoa Attorney for Plaintiff 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF VENTURA, EAST COUNTY DIVISION 10 LORI KLEIMAN, an individual, 56-2012-00424933-QU-PO-VTA Case No.: 11 12 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL (Unlawful Debt 13 Collection Practices) 14 15 EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL LLC.). a limited liability company; CREDITOR) 16 IUSTUS ET REMEDIUM, LLP, a limited) 17 liability partnership; and DOES 1 through). 10, inclusive, 18 19 Defendants. 20 21 I. INTRODUCTION 22 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer against 23 Defendants for violations of the Federal Fair Debt Collections Practices Act and California 24. Rosenthal Act which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair 2.5 practices. 26 27 28.

AINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAN

II. VENUE & PARTIES

- 2. Venue in this district is proper in that the Plaintiff resides here, Defendants transact business here, and the conduct complained of occurred here.
- 3. Plaintiff LORI KLEIMAN is a natural person residing in the State of California, County of Ventura.

2

3

4

5

б

11

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

26

- 4. Defendant EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL LLC at all times relevant was a limited liability company doing business of collecting debts in Ventura County, California operating from an address at 1120 W. Lake Cook Rd., Ste. B, Buffalo Grove, IL 60089.
- 5. Defendant CREDITOR IUSTUS ET REMEDIUM, LLP ("CIR") at all times relevant was a limited liability partnership doing business of collecting debts in Ventura County, California operating from an address at 8665 Gibbs Dr., Ste 150, San Diego, CA 92123.
- 6. Defendants are engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendants regularly attempt to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendants are "debt collectors" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and by the Rosenthal Act, California Civil Code 1788.2(c).
 - 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3).
- 8. The purported debt that Defendants attempted to collect from Plaintiff was a "debt" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- 9. Plaintiff is a "debtor" as defined by the Rosenthal Act, California Civil Code 1788.2(h).
- 10. The purported debt which Defendants attempted to collect from Plaintiff is a "consumer debt" as defined by the Rosenthal Act, California Civil Code §1788.2(f).
- 11. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate (including officers and directors thereof), associate or otherwise of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and alleges that each Defendant designated as a DOE is involved in or is in some manner responsible as a principal,

beneficiary, agent, co-conspirator, joint venturer, alter ego, third party beneficiary, or otherwise, for the agreements, transactions, events and/or acts hereinafter described, and thereby proximately caused injuries and damages to Plaintiff. Plaintiff requests that when the true names and capacities of these DOE Defendants are ascertained, they may be inserted in all subsequent proceedings, and that this action may proceed against them under their true names.

7]

9

10

1.1

12

. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

2.2

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. On June 25, 2012, Defendant EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, through its attorneys Defendant CIR, filed a lawsuit against Plaintiff to collect on an alleged debt; Ventura County Superior Court Case No. 56-2012-00420179-CL-CL-VTA ("the Action").
- 13. The Action attempted to collect a debt past the statute of limitations for such a case as Plaintiff had not made any payment on the alleged debt in the prior four years of Defendants' filing suit.
- 14. Defendants served Plaintiff with the Summons and Complaint in the Action on or about July 13, 2012.
- 15. In addition to filing suit, Defendant CIR called Plaintiff to collect on the alleged debt.
- 16. Defendant CIR called Plaintiff twice within a twenty-four (24) hour period on numerous occasions.
- 17. Plaintiff disputed the alleged debt with Defendants as she did not recall having the account in question.
- 18. Defendant EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL purportedly validated the alleged debt in a letter to Plaintiff dated May 14, 2012.
 - 19. The May 14, 2012 letter stated that the alleged balance was \$3,259.80.
 - 20. Enclosed with the validation letter was one (1) credit card statement.
- 21. The credit card statement stated that the balance of the alleged debt was \$2,919.11.

28

- 22. Defendant EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL provided no basis or verification to support its attempts to collect \$3,259.80 from Plaintiff.
 - 23. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered from severe stress.
 - 24. Plaintiff's credit further suffered with negative reporting.

IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against all Defendants for Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act)

- 25. Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference all of the foregoing paragraphs.
- 26. Defendants violated the FDCPA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, and abuse persons in connection with the collection of the alleged debt;
 - (b) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) by causing the phone to ring or engaging a person in telephone conversations repeatedly with the intent to harass, oppress, and abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of the Debt;
 - (c) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e by using false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - (d) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by giving the false impression of the character, amount or legal status of the alleged debt;
 - (e) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) by threatening to take action that could not legally be taken or that was not intended to be taken;
 - (f) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) by using a false representation and deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain

information regarding a consumer;

- (g) Defendants 15 U.S.C. § 1692(f) by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt; and
- (h) Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692(f)(1) by attempting to collect an amount not authorized by the agreement that created the debt or permitted by law.
- 27. As a result of the above violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Defendants are liable to the Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k.

V. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against all Defendants for Violations of the Rosenthal Act)

- 28. Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference all of the foregoing paragraphs.
- 29. Defendants violated the Rosenthal Act, by including but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Defendants violated California Civil Code §1788.11(d) by causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy the person called;
 - (b) Defendants violated California Civil Code §1788.11(e) by communicating with the Plaintiff with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute an harassment to the Plaintiff under the circumstances; and
 - (c) Defendants violated California Civil Code §1788.17 by failing to comply with the FDCPA as referenced above.
- 30. Defendants' acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 31. As a proximate result of Defendants' violations enumerated above, Plaintiff was damaged in amounts which are subject to proof.
 - 32. Defendants' violations of the Rosenthal Act were willful and knowing.

Defendants are therefore liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and attorney's fees and costs pursuant to California Civil Code §1788.30.

VI. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Against all Defendants for Invasion of Privacy-Intrusion Into Private Affairs)

- 33. Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference all of the foregoing paragraphs.
- 34. Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy in her solitude, seclusion, and private concerns and affairs.
- 35. Defendants willfully and intentionally intruded into Plaintiff's solitude, seclusion and private affairs by repeatedly and unlawfully attempting to collect a debt.
- 36. Defendants' intrusions would be highly offensive to a reasonable person and did in fact offend Plaintiff.
- 37. As a result of such invasions of privacy, Plaintiff was harmed and caused great mental and physical pain.
- 38. Defendants acted with oppression, fraud or malice, and Defendants are therefore liable to Plaintiff for damages in an amount to be proven at trial, and for punitive damages.

19 | | ////

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20 | | ////

21 1////

22 ////

- 23 | ////

24 | //// :

25 ////

- 26 | ////

27 || ////

28 ///

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendants, and each of them, for the following:

- (a) Actual damages;
- (b) Statutory damages pursuant to California Civil Code §1788.30(a) and 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- (c) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to California Civil Code §§1788.30(b) & (c) and 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- (d) Punitive damages; and
- (e) For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Date: September 25, 2012

Octavio Cardona-Loya II, Attorney for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Please take notice that Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

Date: September 25, 2012

Octavio Cardona-Loya II, Attorney for Plaintiff

Exhibit B

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CENTRAL DISTRI	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA N DIVISION
12	LORI KLEIMAN,	CASE NO.:
13	Plaintiff,	CONSENT TO DEMOVAL OF
14	VS.) CONSENT TO REMOVAL OF) DEFENDANT CIR LAW OFFICE,) LLP
15	EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL,	LLP
16	LLC, a limited liability company; CREDITOR IUSTUS ET	
17	REMEDIUM, LLP, a limited liability partnership; and DOES 1 through 10,	}
18	inclusive,	}
19	Defendants.	}
20)
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	KLEIMAN V. EQUABLE ASCENT FINANCIAL, LLC.	, et al., (CASE NO.) W OFFICE, LLP

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD. 1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT: 2 WHEREAS, on September 27, 2012, a complaint was filed against 3 defendants Equable Ascent Financial, LLC and CIR Law Office, LLP (erroneously 5 named in the complaint as Creditor Iustus Et Remedium, LLP) (collectively, "Defendants"), by plaintiff Lori Kleiman ("Plaintiff"), in an action pending in the 6 7 Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Ventura, East 8 County Division, entitled Lori Kleiman v. Equable Ascent Financial, LLC, et al., Case No. 56-2012-00424933-CU-PO-VTA; and 9 WHEREAS, this action is a civil action of which this Court has original 10 jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and which may be removed to this Court by 11 12 Defendants pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) in that the Complaint 13 asserts claims against Defendant allegedly arising under 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et sea. (The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act); and, 14 15 WHEREAS, defendant Equable Ascent Financial, LLC, seeks to remove this action to this Court, 16 THEREFORE, defendant CIR Law Office, LLP hereby consents to the 17 removal of this action. 18 19 On Behalf Of Defendant CIR Law Office. DATED: November 12, 2012 20 LLP 21 22 23 24 CIR Law Office, LLP 25 26 27 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Christina A. Snyder and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Andrew J. Wistrich.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 9729 CAS (AJWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division
312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if LORI KLEIMAN	you are representing yourself [])		DEFENDANTS EQUABLE ASCENT FIN REMEDIUM, LLP	IANCIAL, ILC.	and CREDITOR JUSTUS RE
yourself, provide same.) Octavio Cardona-Loya (SBI Golden & Cardona-Loya, LI		19) 476-0030	Attorneys (If Kniown) Toinilo B. Narita (SBN 156 Simmonds & Narita LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Su San Francisco, CA 94104;	ite:3010 Te): (415):283-1	(191)
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	(Place an X in one box only.)	III. CITIZEI (Place an	SHIP OF PRINCIPAL PAR X in one box for plaintiff and i	TIES - For Dive one for defendant	raity Cases Only
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	M3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of Thi	7.5	F DEF CI Incorp of Bu	PTF DEI corated or Principal Place 日4 日4 Siness in this State
[12 U.S. Government Defendant	D 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizens of Parties in Item III)	hip Citizen of Am	other State U 2	CI2 Incorp	orated and Principal Place [] 5 [] 5 siness in Another State
		Citizen or Sut	ject of a Foreign Country: 🗆 3	□3 Foreig	gn Nation C16 C16
Proceeding State Co.	from □3 Remanded from □ and Appellate Court	Reopened			☐ 6 Multi- ☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Litigation Magistrate Judge
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLA CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.I	INT: JURY DEMAND: MYes		es' only if demanded in comple I MONEY DEMANDED IN (6
VI, CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite	the U.S. Civil Statute under which f 15 U.S.C. 1692, et seq. (Pair Deb	you are filing and v	rite a brief statement of cause.	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	(************************************
□ 410 Antirust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deporation □ 470 Racketesr Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of ☐ Overpayment & ☐ Enforcement of ☐ Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted ☐ Student Loan (Exel. ☐ Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of ☐ Overpayment of ☐ Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product ☐ Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAUPROPURTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Toris to Land ☐ 243 Tort Product Liability ☐ 1290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INIU 1310 Airplane 1315 Airplane Pro- Liability 1320 Assault, Libe Slander 1330 Fed Employ Liability 1340 Merine 1344 Marine Prodi Liability 1350 Motor Vehic Product Llab 1360 Other Person Injury 1362 Personal Injury 1362 Personal Injury 1362 Personal Injury 1364 Asbestos Per Injury Product Liability 1368 Asbestos P	PROPERTY C3 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendin 1 & C380 Other Personal Property Danna, Property Danna, Product Liabilit BANKEUPTOY 442 Appeal 28 USC 158 C1 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS C144 Voting C144 Voting C144 Housing/Accommodations C144 Welfare C145 American with Disabilities Employment American with Disabilities Culter Other Civil Rights	PITTITI	Act e Sentence as Corpus as Corpus as Corpus and Penalty arms/ Reporting & Disclosure Act Discl
emments chaminates encoura na tritta continuativa particular essentian processor com encouração contexto, conse			0720		COMMUNICACION CONTROLOGRAPHO DE PROPERTO D
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Number:COMPLETING THE FRONT SII	DE OF FORM CY	annaganan kanasan kana Sanasan kanasan kanasa	RMATION RE	, Quested below.

Case 2:12-cv-09729-CAS-AJW Document 1 Filed 11/14/12 Page 22 of 22 Page ID #:64

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been pro	eviously filed in this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? ✓ No □ Yes	
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been pre	viously filed in this court tha	t are related to the present case? No □ Yes	
□ C.	Arise from the same Call for determination For other reasons we	e or closely related transaction of the same or substantial could entail substantial duplic	ns, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	1. -2 2	6572	* 1	
Sand the State of American Comment and Comment of the State of the Comment of the	harman mana markita mana maranara na paga 🗸 🦳 ka		f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
Ventura County				
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. f this box is checked, go to item (c).	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
			Delaware	
(c) List the County in this District; (Note: In land condemnation ca			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.	
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us			an Luis Obispo Counties	
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER): Date November 13, 2012				
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The or other papers as required by law	e CV-71 (JS-44) Ci	ed by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
Key to Statistical codes relating to So-	cial Security Cases:			
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action	
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplementa Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security	
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		